

Counting the number of weakly connected dominating sets of graphs

S. Alikhani¹, Z. Amirzadeh and M. Mehryar

*Department of Mathematics
Yazd University
89175-741, Yazd, Iran*

ABSTRACT

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph. A non-empty set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a weakly connected dominating set in G if the subgraph obtained from G by removing all edges each joining any two vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ is connected. In this paper we consider some certain graphs and study the number of their weakly connected dominating sets.

Keywords: Dominating sets; Weakly connected; Path.

Mathematics subject classification: 05C05, 05C69.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph. For a connected graph G and any non-empty $S \subseteq V(G)$, S is called a weakly connected dominating set (w.c.d.s.) of G if the subgraph obtained from G by removing all edges each joining any two vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ is connected. The weakly connected domination number $\gamma_w(G)$ is defined to be the minimum integer k with $|S| = k$ for some weakly connected dominating set S of G (see [4, 7]).

A dominating set with cardinality $\gamma_w(G)$ is called a γ_w -set. Let $\mathcal{D}_w(G, i)$ be the family of weakly connected dominating sets of a graph G with cardinality i and let $d_w(G, i) =$

¹Corresponding author. E-mail: alikhani@yazd.ac.ir

$|\mathcal{D}_w(G, i)|$. The number of dominating sets of a graph has been actively studied in recent years ([1, 2, 3, 8]). In this paper we shall count the number of weakly connected dominating sets of a graph G .

For two graphs $G = (V, E)$ and $H = (W, F)$, the corona $G \circ H$ is the graph arising from the disjoint union of G with $|V|$ copies of H , by adding edges between the i th vertex of G and all vertices of i th copy of H ([5]). The join $G + H$ of two graph G and H with disjoint vertex sets V and W and edge sets E and F is the graph union $G \cup H$ together with all the edges joining V and W .

In the next section, we consider specific graphs and study the number of their weakly connected dominating sets. In Section 3, we consider graphs with specific construction, denoted by $G(m)$ and construct all their weakly connected dominating sets. As an example of these graphs, we study the structure of weakly connected dominating sets and the number of weakly connected dominating sets of paths.

As usual, we use $\lceil x \rceil$, $\lfloor x \rfloor$ for the smallest integer greater than or equal to x and the largest integer less than or equal to x , respectively. In this article, we denote $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ simply by $[n]$.

2 Weakly connected dominating sets of specific graphs

In this section we consider specific graphs and study their weakly connected dominating sets with cardinality i , for $\gamma_w(G) \leq i \leq |V(G)|$. It is well-known and generally accepted that the problem of determining the domination number and dominating sets (and so weakly connected domination number and weakly connected dominating sets) of an arbitrary graph is difficult. Since this problem has been shown to be NP-complete (see [6]), we shall consider in this section, specific graphs.

First we consider the complete graph K_n and the star graphs $K_{1,n}$. The number of weakly connected dominating sets of K_n and $K_{1,n}$ are easy to compute.

Theorem 1.

- (i) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $1 \leq i \leq n$, $d_w(K_n, i) = \binom{n}{i}$.
- (ii) For every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $d_w(K_{1,n}, i) = \binom{n}{i-1}$.
- (iii) $d_w(K_{1,n}, n) = n+1$ and $d_w(K_{1,n}, n+1) = 1$.

The following theorem gives the weakly connected domination number of corona of two graphs:

Theorem 2. Let G be a connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 2$ and H an arbitrary graph. Then $\gamma_w(G \circ H) = |V(G)|$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $V(G)$ is a weakly connected dominating set of $G \circ H$. Therefore, $\gamma_w(G \circ H) \leq |V(G)|$. Now suppose that S is a minimum weakly connected dominating set of $G \circ H$. Then $V(v + H_v) \cap S$ is a dominating set of $v + H_v$ for all $v \in V(G)$ and $V(G) \cap S$ is a weakly connected dominating set of G . Thus, $\gamma_w(G \circ H) = |S| \geq |V(G)|$. Therefore, $\gamma_w(G \circ H) = |V(G)|$. \square

Theorem 3. For two graphs G and H ,

$$\gamma_w(G + H) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma(G) = 1 \text{ or } \gamma(H) = 1; \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\gamma(G) = 1$. Therefore there exist a vertex v in $V(G)$ of degree $|V(G)| - 1$. So $\{v\}$ is a w.c.d.s. of $G + H$. Hence $\gamma_w(G + H) = 1$. Now suppose that

$\gamma(G) > 1$ and $\gamma(H) > 1$. It is easy to see that a set $\{v, w\}$, where $v \in V(G)$ and $w \in V(H)$ is a w.c.d.s of $G + H$. Therefore in this case $\gamma_w(G + H) = 2$. \square

The following theorem gives the number of w.c.d.s. of $G_1 + G_2$.

Theorem 4. *Let G_1 and G_2 be connected graphs of order n_1 and n_2 , respectively. Then, for two natural numbers i_1, i_2 , and $i \geq \gamma_w(G_1 + G_2)$,*

$$d_w(G_1 + G_2, i) = d_w(G_1, i) + d_w(G_2, i) + \sum_{i_1+i_2=i} \binom{n_1}{i_1} \binom{n_2}{i_2}.$$

Proof. Let i be a natural number $1 \leq i \leq n_1 + n_2$. We want to determine $d_w(G_1 + G_2, i)$. If i_1 and i_2 are two natural numbers such that $i_1 + i_2 = i$, then clearly, for every $D_1 \subseteq V(G_1)$ and $D_2 \subseteq V(G_2)$, such that $|D_j| = i_j$, $j = 1, 2$, $D_1 \cup D_2$ is a weakly connected dominating set of $G_1 + G_2$. Moreover, if $D \in \mathcal{D}_w(G_1, i)$, then D is a weakly connected dominating set for $G_1 + G_2$ of size i . The same is true for every $D \in \mathcal{D}_w(G_2, i)$. Therefore we have the result. \square

The following corollary gives the relationship between the number of w.c.d.s. of wheels W_n and cycles C_n :

Corollary 1. *For every $n \geq 4$, $d_w(W_n, i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1; \\ d_w(C_{n-1}, i) + \binom{n-1}{i-1} & \text{if } i \geq 2. \end{cases}$*

Proof. Since $W_n = C_{n-1} + K_1$, by Theorem 4 we have,

$$d_w(W_n, i) = \begin{cases} d_w(C_{n-1}, i) + 1 & \text{if } i = 1; \\ d_w(C_{n-1}, i) + \binom{n-1}{i-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since for every $n \geq 4$, $d_w(C_{n-1}, 1) = 0$, we have the result. \square

3 Weakly connected dominating sets of $G(m)$

In this section we are interested to study the weakly connected dominating sets of graphs denoted by $G(m)$. As an example of graphs $G(m)$, we construct w.c.d.s. of paths and count the number of w.c.d.s. of paths.

A path is a connected graph in which two vertices have degree one and the remaining vertices have degree two. Let P_n be the path with $V(P_n) = [n]$ and $E(P_n) = \{(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n-1, n)\}$, see Figure 1.




Figure 1: The path P_n with vertices labeled $[n]$.

Let P_{m+1} be a path with vertices labeled by y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m , for $m \geq 0$ and let v_0 be a specific vertex of a graph G . Denote by $G_{v_0}(m)$ a graph obtained from G by identifying the vertex v_0 of G with an end vertex y_0 of P_{m+1} . It is clear that if the path is glued to a different vertex v_1 of G , then the two graphs $G_{v_1}(m)$ and $G_{v_0}(m)$ may not be isomorphic. It depends on the vertex to which we glue the path. If throughout our discussion, this vertex is fixed, then we shall simply use the notation $G(m)$ (if there is no likelihood of confusion).

We need the following lemma to obtain our main results in this section:

Lemma 1.

- (i) $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m), i) = \emptyset$ if and only if $i > |V(G(m))|$ or $i < \gamma_w(G(m))$,
- (ii) If $e \in E(G)$, then $\gamma_w(G - e) - 1 \leq \gamma_w(G) \leq \gamma_w(G - e)$, (see [9])
- (iii) For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_w(G(m-1)) \leq \gamma_w(G(m)) \leq \gamma_w(G(m-1)) + 1$. (by (ii) above).

We need the following easy lemma and theorem:

Lemma 2. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_w(P_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Theorem 5. Suppose that $G(m)$ is the graph defined in this section. Then for every $m \geq 0$,

$$\gamma_w(G(m)) = \begin{cases} \gamma_w(G) + \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor & \text{if } G \text{ has a } \gamma\text{-set containing } y_0; \\ \gamma_w(G) + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If y_0 is in the γ_w -set of G , then to obtain the γ_w -set of $G(m)$ it suffices to dominate the path with vertices $\{y_2, \dots, y_m\}$, otherwise we dominate the path with vertices $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$. Therefore; by Lemma 2, the proof is complete. \square

To enumerating the weakly connected dominating set of $G(m)$ with cardinality i , it is easy to see that we do not need to consider w.c.d.s. of $G(m-3)$ with cardinality $i-1$. Therefore, we only need to consider w.c.d.s. in $G(m-1)$ and $G(m-2)$ with cardinality $i-1$. The families of these weakly connected dominating sets can be empty or otherwise. Thus, we have four cases of whether these two families are empty or not. We do not need to consider the case that $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m-1), i-1) = \mathcal{D}_w(G(m-2), i-1) = \emptyset$, because it implies $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m), i) = \emptyset$. Also the case $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m-1), i-1) \neq \emptyset$, $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m-2), i-1) = \emptyset$ does not exists. Thus, we only need to consider two cases. We consider these cases in Theorem 6 which construct the weakly connected dominating sets of $G(m)$.

Theorem 6.

- (i) If $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m-1), i-1) = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m-2), i-1) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m), i) = \left\{ \{y_{m-1}\} \cup X \mid X \in \mathcal{D}_w(G(m-2), i-1) \right\}$,
- (ii) If $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m-2), i-1) \neq \emptyset$, $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m-1), i-1) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m), i) = \left\{ \{y_m\} \cup X_1, \{y_{m-1}\} \cup X_2 \mid X_1 \in \mathcal{D}_w(G(m-1), i-1), X_2 \in \mathcal{D}_w(G(m-2), i-1) \right\}$

Proof.

(i) Obviously $\left\{ \{y_{m-1}\} \cup X \mid X \in \mathcal{D}_w(G(m-2), i-1) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_w(G(m), i)$. Now suppose that $Y \in \mathcal{D}_w(G(m), i)$. Then at least one of the vertices y_m or y_{m-1} is in Y . If $y_m \in Y$ then at least one of the vertices y_{m-1} or y_{m-2} is in Y . If $y_{m-1} \in Y$, then $Y - \{y_m\} \in \mathcal{D}_w(G(m-1), i-1)$ a contradiction. So $y_{m-2} \in Y$ and $Y - \{y_{m-1}\} \in \mathcal{D}_w(G(m-2), i-1)$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}_w(G(m), i) \subseteq \left\{ \{y_{m-1}\} \cup X \mid X \in \mathcal{D}_w(G(m-2), i-1) \right\}$.

(ii) Obviously $\left\{ \{y_m\} \cup X_1, \{y_{m-1}\} \cup X_2 \mid X_1 \in \mathcal{D}_w(G(m-1), i-1), X_2 \in \mathcal{D}_w(G(m-2), i-1) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_w(G(m), i)$.

Now, let $Y \in \mathcal{D}_w(G(m), i)$, then $y_m \in Y$ or $y_{m-1} \in Y$. If $y_m \in Y$, then at least one vertex labeled y_{m-1} or y_{m-2} is in Y . If $y_{m-1} \in Y$, then $Y = X \cup \{y_m\}$ for some $X \in \mathcal{D}(G(m-1), i-1)$. If $y_{m-2} \in Y$, then $Y = X \cup \{y_{m-1}\}$ for some $X \in \mathcal{D}(G(m-2), i-1)$. So we have the result. \square

Theorem 7. For every $m \geq 2$,

$$d_w(G(m), i) = d_w(G(m-1), i-1) + d_w(G(m-2), i-1).$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 6. \square

Since $P_n = P_1(n-1)$, we can apply the results for the graph $G(m)$ to obtain some properties of weakly connected dominating sets and their numbers for paths. We denote $\mathcal{D}_w(P_n, i)$ simply by \mathcal{P}_n^i .

For the construction of \mathcal{P}_n^i , by Theorem 6, we only need to consider two families \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{i-1} and \mathcal{P}_{n-2}^{i-1} .

Theorem 8. For every $n \geq 3$ and $i \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$,

(i) If $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{i-1} = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n-2}^{i-1} \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{P}_n^i = \left\{ X \cup \{n-1\} \mid X \in \mathcal{P}_{n-2}^{i-1} \right\}$.

(ii) If $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{i-1} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n-2}^{i-1} \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\mathcal{P}_n^i = \left\{ \{n\} \cup X_1, \{n-1\} \cup X_2 \mid X_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{i-1}, X_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{n-2}^{i-1} \right\}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 6. \square

The following theorem gives a recurrence for the number of w.c.d.s. of P_n .

Theorem 9. For every $n \geq 3$ and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq i \leq n$, $d_w(P_n, i) = d_w(P_{n-1}, i-1) + d_w(P_{n-2}, i-1)$, with initial values $d_w(P_1, 1) = 1$, $d_w(P_2, 1) = 2$ and $d_w(P_2, 2) = 1$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 7. \square

Using Theorem 9, we obtain $d_w(P_n, j) = |\mathcal{P}_n^j|$ for $1 \leq n \leq 10$ in Table 1.

j	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$d_w(P_1, j)$	1									
$d_w(P_2, j)$	2	1								
$d_w(P_3, j)$	1	3	1							
$d_w(P_4, j)$		3	4	1						
$d_w(P_5, j)$		1	6	5	1					
$d_w(P_6, j)$			4	10	6	1				
$d_w(P_7, j)$			1	10	15	7	1			
$d_w(P_8, j)$				5	20	21	8	1		
$d_w(P_9, j)$				1	15	35	28	9	1	
$d_w(P_{10}, j)$					6	35	56	36	10	1

Table 1. $d_w(P_n, j)$, the number of w.c.d.s. of P_n with cardinality j .

Here we shall solve the recurrence relation with two variables for $d_w(P_n, j)$ in Theorem 9. We state an elementary combinatorial problem which its solution satisfies this recurrence relation.

Suppose that we have n boxes in the row and j objects. We want to count the number of permutations of these items in boxes such that there is at most one object in each box and no two adjacent boxes can be empty. It is easy to see that the answer of this problem is $\binom{j+1}{n-j}$. We can see that if $a_{n,j}$ is the solution of this problem, then we have the following recurrence relation with these initial values $a_{1,1} = 1$, $a_{2,1} = 2$ and $a_{2,2} = 1$:

$$a_{n,j} = a_{n-1,j-1} + a_{n-2,j-1}.$$

So we have the following result:

Theorem 10. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq j \leq n$, $d_w(P_n, j) = \binom{j+1}{n-j}$.*

References

- [1] S. Akbari, S. Alikhani and Y.H. Peng, *Characterization of graphs using domination polynomial*, Europ. J. Combin., 31 (2010) 1714-1724.
- [2] S. Alikhani, M.H. Akhbari, C. Eslahchic, R. Hasni, *On the number of outer connected dominating sets of graphs*, Utilitas Math. 91 (2013) 99-107.
- [3] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, *Introduction to domination polynomial of a graph*, Ars Combin. 114 (2014) 257-266.
- [4] G.S. Domke, J.H. Hattingh, L.R. Markus, *On weakly connected domination in graphs II*, Discrete Math. 305 (2005) 112-122.
- [5] R. Frucht and F. Harary, *On the corona of two graphs*, Aequationes Mathematicae, vol. 4 (1970) 322-325.

- [6] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theorey of NP-Completness*. Freeman, New York, 1979.
- [7] K.M. Koh, T.S. Ting, Z.L. Xu, F.M. Dong, *Lower bound on the weakly connected domination number of a cycle-disjoint graph*, Australas J. Combin. 46 (2010) 157-166.
- [8] T. Kotek, J. Preen, F. Simon, P. Tittmann, M. Trinks, *Recurrence relations and splitting formulas for the domination polynomial*, Elec. J. Combin. 19(3) (2012), # P47.
- [9] M. Lemańska, J. Raczek, Gdańsk, *Weakly connected domination stable trees*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 59 (134) (2009) 95-100.